## <u>REMARKS</u>

As the referenced office action divided the application into two species, Species I and Species II, specifying that both species related to the same Fig. 8, Applicant called the examiner for an interview on September 26, 2005 and the examiner clarified Species I is directed to Fig. 8 and Species II is directed to Fig. 11.

In response to the election/restriction requirement Applicant hereby elects Species I for initial prosecution. The claims applicable to this election include generic claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon, which includes claims 2, 3, 4, 5,6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, and claim 8 specifically relates to the elected Species I shown in Fig. 8.

In summary, Applicant hereby elects claims, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,8, 10, 11, 12,13, 14 for initial prosecution while reserving the right to file a divisional application on the claims related to Species II, that being claim 9.

In view of the above election, which is being made without traverse, Applicant believes the present application is in a position for examination and expeditious action on same is respectfully requested.

Should the examiner have any questions or comments, a call to the undersigned attorney of record is invited.

Respectfully submitted, Sergio A. Alonso, Applicant

By:

Edward M. Livingston, Esq. THE LIVINGSTON FIRM 963 Trail Terrace Drive Naples, FL 34103 (239) 262-8502

Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 28,523

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8**

Legal Assistant